Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s) JANKOWSKI ET AL.	
10/612,226		
Examiner	Art Unit	
CYNTHIA LEE	1795	

	CYNTHIA LEE	1795				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 03 October 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.				
application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (2) a Notice of Application (3) and (4) application (4) appli	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request or Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time					
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checket. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee to action; or (2) as			
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any externation of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the filed was provided in the notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the filed was provided in the notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the filed was filed in the notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the filed was filed in the notice of Appeal has been filed with the filed was filed in the notice of Appeal has been filed with the filed was filed with the notice of Appeal has been filed with th	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
AMENDMENTS	idilit the time period sectoral in 57	51 TC 4 1.57 (u).				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			cause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying tappeal; and/or 						
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).						
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all 	imely filed amendmen	nt canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s).	owabie ii subiliitted iii a separate, t	intely filed afficialities	it canceling the			
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided. 		be entered and an e	xplanation of			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to compare the compared to the comp	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a			
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☑ Other: Form 892 - Notice of Reference Cited.						
15. ☑ Outer, Form 892 - Notice of Reference Cited.						
/PATRICK RYAN/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795	/Cynthia Lee/ Examiner, Art Unit 1795					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The 35 USC 112,1st rejection is maintained because the Specification does not support that the label 50 is the heating element.

The Examiner remains unpersuaded with respect to the prior art arguments.

Applicant argues that the Kukula's heater is in a combustor out of the fuel path, and not along a fuel path upstream from the feuel cell stack.

It is noted that the heater heats the combustor in which the heat is used to heat the incoming fuel, and thus is located along a fuel path upstream from the fuel cell stack.

Applicant argues that the combustor of Keskula burns MeOH, and would burn up Maru's fuel and would not convert or reform sufficient amount of fuel into hydrogen. In response, the Examiner notes that the modification would entail the same configuration of Keskula into Maru, i.e., the byproducts of the fuel effluent would be converted into heat through the heater to heat the incoming MeOH of Maru, and not convert the incoming MeOH into hydrogen.

Refer to Applicant's fig. 10. It is noted that The Applicant's fuel (206) heated by the combustor is not along the fuel path upstream from the fuel cell because it does not enter the fuel cell, but exits by 208. The fuel path heated by the heater 206 and 208 is not part of the fuel path that enters the fuel cell 214. The fuel path 206 and 208 is a separate fuel path from the fuel path that enters the fuel cell 214.

Regarding the Examiner's Official Notice, Applicants are refered to Thielman US 6454978, 6:50-53.